

## PATENT COOPERATION TREAT PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 021219PC/I	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).					
International Application No.	International Filing Date (day/month/year)		Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/AU2003/001400	22 October 2003 6 November 2002		6 November 2002				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
Int. Cl. 7 C22B 3/12, 11/00, 11/08							
Applicant XSTRATA QUEENSLAND LTD et al							
ASIKATA QUEENSLATO DI	D Ct ai						
			1				
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheet(s).							
3. This report contains indications relating	g to the following items:						
I X Basis of the report							
II Priority	II Priority						
III Non-establishment of op	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
IV Lack of unity of invention	IV Lack of unity of invention						
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
VI Certain documents cited	Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the int	Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of the report							
24 May 2004		1 June 2004					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer					
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA							
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au		ROGER HOWE					
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2159					

PCT/AU2003/001400

ī.	Basis of the report						
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*  X the international application as originally filed.						
-	the international application as originally filed.						
	the description, pages, as originally filed,						
	pages , filed with the demand,						
	pages, received on with the letter of						
	the claims, pages, as originally filed,						
	pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,						
	pages , filed with the demand,						
	pages, received on with the letter of						
	the drawings, pages, as originally filed,						
	pages , filed with the demand,						
	pages, received on with the letter of						
	the sequence listing part of the description:						
Ì	pages , as originally filed						
	pages, filed with the demand						
	pages, received on with the letter of						
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in						
	which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:						
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).						
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).						
3.	Vith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international						
	preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	contained in the international application in written form.						
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
: I	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished						
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
	the description, pages						
	the claims, Nos.						
	the drawings, sheets/fig.						
5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**						
*	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).						
**	Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report						

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/001400

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-11	YES	
		Claims	NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-11	YES	
		Claims	NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-11	YES	
		Claims	NO	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The closest document raised in the International Search Report is WO 2000/017407. This discloses the extraction of metal from refractory material including grinding, leaching in the presence of alkaline material and an oxidizing agent then subjecting the oxidized material to a cyanide extraction step. The improvement of the present application over this disclosure is the limiting of the oxidation to between 9 and 20%, as compared to the example in the citation, which discloses in the examples given an oxidation of from 70% to over 97%. The limiting of the oxidation as defined in the claims does not appear to be obvious in the art.

Therefore the claims are novel and involve an inventive step.